

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/647,279	07	7/18/2001	Kazumi Iijima	K0208-013	5027	
23723	7590	12/16/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Patterson B Attention: I.		ebb & Tyler, LLP	AUGHENBAU	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
1133 Avenu				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
New York,	NY 10036	•		1772		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/647,279	IIJIMA, KAZUMI					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Walter B Aughenbaugh	1772					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sh et with th	orrespond nce add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather that the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.		•					
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6.							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/647,279

Art Unit: 1772

ADVISORY ACTION

Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendments

1. The amendments made in claims 1, 3 and 5 in Applicant's After Final Amendment filed November 19, 2003 (Paper 11) have not been entered due to the fact that they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The limitation "pre-filled" is a new limitation that raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the amendments made in the last six lines of claim 1 introduce new structural limitations that raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANTS ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of claim 1 as anticipated by Moncada et al. made of record in paragraph 9 of Paper 9 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's argument on the top of page 4 of Paper 11 that "adapter 80 therefore does not extend from the nozzle portion of the syringe barrel", the limitations on which the Applicant relies (i.e. the adapter extending from the nozzle portion) are not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is the claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. *Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc.*, 7 USPQ2d 1064. Applicant also argues that the luer lock connector taught by Moncada et al. is not "formed in the nozzle portion" but this limitation was newly added to claim 1 in Paper 11 and has not been entered for the reasons discussed above in paragraph 1 of this Advisory Action.

Applicant then argues that Moncada et al. doesn't teach that all or part of an inner surface of the luer lock portion has a roughened surface; Applicant seems to have neglected the sentence prior to the sentence including the statement that "all or part of an inner surface of the luer lock

Application/Control Number: 09/647,279

Art Unit: 1772

portion (the combination of items 108 and 116 and the space between items 108 and 116, Fig. 5) has a roughened surface" that explains the reason why Moncada et al. teach that all or part of an inner surface of the luer lock portion has a roughened surface: "Moncada et al. teach that the outside surfaces of the ears (item 34) include teeth (item 48) and that teeth or any other type of roughened surface are formed on the rear surface (item 50, Fig. 1) of the ears (item 34) (col. 4, lines 42-60 and Fig. 1 and 2)". Since the outside surfaces of the ears are part of the inner surface of the luer lock portion, Moncada et al. teach that all or part of an inner surface of the luer lock portion has a roughened surface.

Page 3

- 3. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 4 over Moncada et al. in view of Porfano et al. made of record in paragraph 11 of Paper 9 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "the technical field and purpose of Porfano et al. differ from that of the claimed invention on pages 4-5 of Paper 11. As Applicant acknowledges on page 5 of Paper 11, and as is made of record in paragraph 11 of Paper 9, the Porfano et al. deals with plastic syringe barrels (it is stated in paragraph 11 of Paper 9 that "Porfano et al. teach that cyclic polyolefin copolymers are suitable plastics to use as the syringe barrel material since cyclic polyolefin copolymers typically do not require a clarifying agent (col. 6, lines 46-48)"). One of ordinary skill in the art would have consulted the Porfano et al. reference that teaches a suitable material for plastic syringe barrels in order to determine the type of material to use for the plastic syringe barrel of Moncada et al.
- 4. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 3 over Moncada et al. made of record in paragraph 10 of Paper 9 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,279

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant argues that Moncada et al. does not teach that "any frictional engaging means (including teeth or a roughened surface, col. 4, lines 45-50) may be positioned at any other location along the length of the adapter (col. 6, lines 19-23)" as the Office Action (Paper 9) states in paragraph 10. The cited passage in col. 6 states that "the threaded engaging means or other engaging means may be positioned at any other location along the length of the adapter", and the cited passage from col. 4 plainly states that the teeth are "for increased frictional engagement between the ears 34 and a cooperating female Luer lock portion"; Moncada et al. clearly consider the teeth to be an engaging means- not the threaded engaging means, but an engaging means that falls within the "other engaging means" genus that is taught at col. 6, line 21 of Moncada et al.

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 5 over Moncada et al. in view of Lampkin made of record in paragraph 12 of Paper 9 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the purpose of the roughened area Lampkin is "entirely different" than the purpose of the claimed invention, but the purpose of the roughened area of Lampkin is irrelevant; Lampkin teaches a method by which a roughened area is formed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have consulted Lampkin for a method of forming a roughened surface on a plastic syringe since Lampkin teaches a syringe having a roughened surface.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is 703-305-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00am to 6:00pm and on alternate Fridays from 9:00am to 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

wba 12/15/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER